REMARKS

Claims 2-8 and 10-14 are pending. No new matter has been added by way of the present

amendments. For instance, claims 2 and 3 have been placed into independent format and claim 1

has subsequently been cancelled. The dependency of claims 4-8 have been altered to depend

upon claim 2. Lastly, newly added claims 10-14 substantially parallel language present in claims

4-8, but depend upon claim 3. Accordingly, no new matter has been added.

In view of the following remarks, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner

withdraw all rejections and allow the currently pending claims.

RENEWED REQUEST TO INITIAL INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

At page 5 and 6 of the Amendment submitted on December 13, 2005, Applicants

submitted a request to the Examiner to initial the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed

December 8, 2004. However, the Examiner has not yet addressed Applicants request to properly

consider the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) originally filed on December 8, 2004.

Accordingly, Applicants hereby reiterate their comments.

That is, the Examiner has asserted that the IDS filed on December 8, 2004 failed to

comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited patent document.

Applicants submit that the Examiner is incorrect.

The present application is the U.S. National Phase of PCT/EP03/06886. Two of the three

references referred to by the Examiner (EP 0545099-A and DE 19840322) were not supplied

with the IDS since they were cited in the International Search Report corresponding to

PCT/EP03/06886. As such, the International Bureau provides copies of these references to the

corresponds to USP 3,000,780, already provided,) and EP 0545099 (which corresponds to

application 07/973,976 (USP 5,330,995)), need not be provided since the corresponding U.S.

counterparts were already provided.

The third reference referred to by the Examiner, JP 2001/302605-A was in fact already

provided. However, to expedite this issue a replacement copy of JP 2001/302605, along with its

corresponding English Abstract was provided for the Examiner's information with the December

13, 2005 Response.

To summarize, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner properly consider the

IDS filed on December 8, 2004.

<u>Issues under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)</u>

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 4-8 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious

over GB 857,388, C2002-041987 (abstract, SUMITOMO) and U.S. Patent 6,369,093.

Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Applicants respectfully submit that the presently pending claims have been limited to the

subject matter of claims 2 or 3. As indicated by the Examiner at page 5 of the outstanding Office

Action, claims 2 and 3 are allowable. Thus, this rejection is moot. Reconsideration and

7

withdrawal thereof are respectfully requested.

SLL/CAM/py

Docket No.: 5000-0108PUS1

Application No. 10/517,209

Amendment dated May 24, 2006

Reply to Office Action of March 13, 2006

**Allowable Subject Mater** 

In view of the above, Applicants respectfully submit that the presently pending claims

define subject matter which is patentable. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to

withdraw all rejections and allow the currently pending claims.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments, please contact Craig A. McRobbie,

Registration No 42,874 at the offices of Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future

replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for

any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under § 1.17; particularly, extension of

time fees.

Dated: May 24, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Scott L. Lowe

Registration No.: 41,458

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 5000-0108PUS1

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

8

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

SLL/CAM/py